# Documentation of Research

Direct quotes in this section should be labeled as Reviewer A, B, C, etc. Do not include the reviewer’s name. Please also avoid using internal faculty and student names when quoting.

## Committee’s Evaluation of Research and Impact

**(Two page maximum)**

* *This section should summarize and assess the key scholarly contributions of the candidate, synthesizing input from a variety of sources such as the internal and external letters, and the candidate’s publications. Specific examples should be given, ideally with reference to the important papers. Evidence for impact of the work should be highlighted. Whereas a few key quotes could be helpful, this is not a section in which to reproduce generic accolades from the external letters.*
* For promotion to ***full professor***, there needs to be evidence that an international reputation has been established. Therefore, some letters from distinguished international scholars will be expected. In this section, the committee needs to emphasize the key intellectual contributions that the candidate has made to the field. For example, how would the field be different without the candidate’s contributions?
* For promotion to ***associate professor***, there needs to be evidence that at least a national reputation has been established, and that the candidate is on a trajectory for promotion to full professor. The specific intellectual areas in which the candidate is carving out a niche need to be identified, along with the evidence that the candidate is establishing a unique reputation in these areas.
* Specific contributions to technology transfer and entrepreneurship should be included in this section, if applicable.