# **Documentation of Research**

If direct quotes from external reviewers are used in this section, they should be labeled as Reviewer A, B, C, etc. Do not include the reviewer’s name. Please also avoid using internal faculty and student names when quoting. If quoting internal and student reviewers with labels, please distinguish them from the external reviewer labels. For example, Internal Reviewer A, Graduate Student A, Undergraduate Student A and include these labels in internal and student lists in SmartPath.

## **Committee’s Evaluation of Research and Impact**

**(Two page maximum)**

Please do not edit the format of the template or remove any headings.

* This section should summarize and assess the key scholarly contributions of the candidate, synthesizing input from a variety of sources such as the internal and external letters, and the candidate’s publications. Specific examples should be given, ideally with reference to the important papers. Evidence for impact of the work should be highlighted. (If bibliometrics such as h-index are provided, please indicate the source.)
* Whereas a few key quotes could be helpful, this is not a section in which to reproduce generic accolades from the external letters.
* The narrative should specifically address the specified criteria (see Appendix I) for promotion to the rank under consideration. In particular, the evaluation should assess impact and reputation based on specific research contributions.
* Specific contributions to technology transfer and entrepreneurship should be included in this section, if applicable.